

You are in the army now

(episode three)

TT agenda today

- civilized war forms
- civilized war weapons

### **civilized war forms**

Soldiers, we have read § 7 Völkerstrafgesetzbuch the german codification of a people criminal code. This section is called “crimes against humanity” and its protected value among others are “human - against inhuman - collective (compare insofar most of all Nr. 2 of the first section!) - and within collective also individual (compare insofar for example Nr. 3) - life conditions”. Subject to protection are populations subject to guilt and sentence, however, still only individuals.

Are you with me? Yes. Ok.

(If this accorded to international generally accepted people law principles, by the way, it would logically exclude anyway illegal reparation claims in so called peace treaties. At least if civil claims for war damages depend on guilt instead of contract.)

Unfortunately in Germany respective charges for guilt (rather than contract) are not enforced. Do you think (or even know) that simple national or international courts or even less than ever national or international prosecutors and their police deputy forces are able and willing to deal with such huge crimes against the whole population although you know (exactly) that they are already unable or unwilling to enforce individual protective law. You must not abuse children for instance. Celibates may.

I am with me.

Protected by law here is the population primarily as collective (only within it individuals individually too, what lets protection competence untouched). Population protection enforced is usually by us the military people function. *We love our people including the youngest.* We are an essential organic part of it. Keep always in mind that a population is an own living organism consisting of about 80 million human cells, in our german case, plus uncountable life essential cells provided by Fauna and Flora.

Love is the higher law that provides for our enforcement competences.

Prosecutors and judges do not love. None of them is willing to protect our children. However, they are disburdened from their enforcement duties here anyway.

(Nor do mps, by the way, which would presume a sane relation to truth. None of them love us, the people, since their own recruitment into their political class. None ever consider telling us the truth.)

Are you still with me? Yes, fine. However, now follow new developments.

International war law (people law or a subdivision of it) used to consider populations to suffer from battle wars. Either inter or intra population battle wars (wars and civil wars). However, it is adjusting this consideration momentarily taking eventually into it their invisible complement civilized war forms between and/or during the military hardware equipment wars so far always overseen for their lack of battles and even uniforms.

Adjusted international war law combined with higher love are our authorization fundamentals. That is why we would die in fulfilment of our duties if necessary.

Are you here still with me?

In principle yes, major, but how do you know international war law is adjusting its view.

Good question, soldier, because they are aware of Kafka's Heritage. Our advantage of international war law, however, is that *international war law is a retrospective matter*. We move on our reasonable authorization fundament. There is nothing else as measure means. And international war law is going to report and summarize the international reactions acceptance or protest. Classic case law. And those who refer so far to international war law trying to justify enforcement never are prosecutors or judges. These are UN accredited diplomats briefed by foreign state secretaries, their defence (war) secretary colleagues and their troops enforce(d).

Fully back with you, major!

Very good soldier.

And some cases do not have precedents. There you have to stand on love, your healthy natural conscience and self-adjusted (forth developed when generally accepted) international war guidelines.

Then let us now analyse the legal description of the crime genocide one of the some (far not all possible) crimes against humanity codified in § 7 VStGB

(Übrigens, you find the here (§ 7) extracted legal facts of genocide compact in Kafka's Heritage, crime time table part two. Very helpful (as) soon (as you have read it!).

A genocide in very easy terms is place taking when the whole population or certain groups of it suffer from inhuman life conditions from which, unless improved, they are going to die. (The more detailed facts regarding identifiable groups for example are going to be well known in about five weeks. (purple rule)).

Soldiers, would we like to imagine now some possible good explanations of our company colonel for our pleasure in Düsseldorf? Who first?

The dress code, major! Ha ha - general amusement -

Pleasant side effect indeed, soldiers, of civilized war scenes ... in the beginning of the third third of the movie (historic documentary for example) ... let us imagine the second third on grounds of the first real factual third which shall equal the past/last 30 years of simple mind (weak up) times.

Perhaps gesetzliche krankensicherungsrechtliche Beitragsbemessungsgrenze, major (income threshold for „compulsory“ public health care)!?

Perhaps is always right this time even exact. Beitragsbemessungsgrenze with or perhaps without retirement inter generation cost allocation with or perhaps without demographic top down pyramid with or perhaps without you and me. ... ..

Perhaps ... state under the law which do we bring along, major?

Wow, walking on the sunshine of love, the comrade, wonderful soldier! ...

And now Mahlzeit Kameraden!

After lunch we continue with ...

## **Civilized war weapons**

End of episode